

**BEFORE THE MISSOURI
STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY AND BARBER EXAMINERS**

STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY)	
AND BARBER EXAMINERS,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 22-2675
)	
JEREMY CROCKETT,)	
d/b/a THE EDGE BARBERSHOP,)	
Respondent.)	

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
OF LAW, AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

On or about September 23, 2022, the Administrative Hearing Commission entered its Default Decision in the case of *Missouri Board of Cosmetology and Barber Examiners v. Jeremy Crockett*, Case No. 22-2675. In that Default Decision, the Administrative Hearing Commission found that Respondent Jeremy Crockett’s barber operator license (license # 2005036064) and establishment license (license #2014003092) are subject to disciplinary action by the Missouri Board Cosmetology and Barber Examiners (“Board”) pursuant to § § 328.150.2(5), (6), (12) and (13), RSMo.¹

The Board has received and reviewed the record of the proceedings before the Administrative Hearing Commission, including the properly pled complaint filed before the Administrative Hearing Commission on April 13, 2022, and the Default Decision of the Administrative Hearing Commission. The record of the Administrative Hearing Commission, including the properly pled complaint and Default Decision, is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

Pursuant to notice and § 621.110 and § 328.150.3, RSMo, the Board scheduled a hearing to be held on November 14, 2022, at approximately 1:00 p.m. at the Division of Professional

¹ All statutory references are to Missouri Revised Statutes, as amended, unless otherwise indicated.

Registration Building, 3605 Missouri Boulevard, Jefferson City, Missouri 65109, for the purpose of determining the appropriate disciplinary action against Crockett's licenses. The Board was represented by attorney Jamie Cox. Although duly noticed, Respondent failed to appear before the Board.

Based upon the foregoing the Board hereby states:

I.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Board is an agency of the state of Missouri created and established pursuant to § 329.015, RSMo, for the purpose of licensing all persons engaged in the practice of barbering and cosmetology in this state. The Board has control and supervision of the licensed occupations and enforcement of the terms and provisions of Chapters 328 and 329, RSMo.

2. Respondent holds an operator license (license # 2005036064) and establishment license (license #2014003092). Respondent's operator license is active but currently under suspension pursuant to section 324.010, RSMo. Respondent's establishment license is active and not expired.

3. The Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the properly pled complaint and Default Decision of the Administrative Hearing Commission in *Missouri Board of Cosmetology and Barber Examiners v. Jeremy Crockett*, Case No. 22-2675, in its entirety. In that Default Decision the Administrative Hearing Commission determined that the Board filed a properly pled complaint before the Administrative Hearing Commission on or about April 13, 2022, that Respondent was personally served with the complaint and that Respondent never filed an answer or otherwise responded to the complaint.

4. In its Default Decision, the Administrative Hearing Commission determined there was cause to discipline Respondent's licenses pursuant to §§ 328.150.2(5), (6), (12), and (13), RSMo, as established in the properly pled complaint.

5. The Board set this matter for disciplinary hearing and served notice of the disciplinary hearing upon Respondent in a proper and timely fashion.

6. The Board offered the Complaint filed with the Administrative Hearing Commission (AHC) on April 13, 2022, seeking to discipline Licensee's barber operator license, as well as a copy of the AHC's default decision dated September 23, 2022, finding that the Board has cause to discipline Licensee's license.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

7. This Board has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to §§ 621.110 and 328.150.3, RSMo.

8. The Board expressly adopts and incorporates by reference the properly pled complaint and the Default Decision issued by the Administrative Hearing Commission on September 23, 2022, in *Missouri Board of Cosmetology and Barber Examiners v. Jeremy Crockett*, Case No. 22-2675, and hereby enters its Conclusions of Law consistent therewith.

9. As a result of the foregoing, and in accordance with the Administrative Hearing Commission's Default Decision on September 23, 2022, Respondent's barber operator license (license # 2005036064) and establishment license (license #2014003092) are subject to disciplinary subject to disciplinary action by the Board pursuant to §§ 328.150.2(5), (6), (12) and (13), RSMo.

10. The Board has determined that this Order is necessary to ensure the protection of the public.

ORDER

Having fully considered all the evidence before the Board, and giving full weight to the Decision of the Administrative Hearing Commission, it is the **ORDER** of the Board that

Respondent's barber operator license (license # 2005036064) and establishment license (license #2014003092) shall be **REVOKED** from the effective date of this Order. Upon receipt of this Order, Respondent shall immediately return all evidence of licensure to the Board.

11. The Board will maintain this Order as an open record of the Board as provided in Chapters 328, 329, 610, and 324, RSMo.

SO ORDERED, EFFECTIVE THIS 3rd DAY OF January, 2023.

MISSOURI STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY
AND BARBER EXAMINERS


Karla Johansen, Executive Director