



STATE OF MISSOURI
DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION

DIMENSIONS

BOARD FOR ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS,
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS & PROFESSIONAL
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS



SPRING/SUMMER 2017



CHAIRMAN'S LETTER

By: Robert N. (Bob) Hartnett, PLA



Within the design professions, there was movement in the late 1990's and early 2000's to implement a continuing education requirement in all state statutes/rules to demonstrate continued minimum competency by licensees. Continuing education was seen as a mechanism to protect the public's health, safety, and welfare. It is one of the more controversial topics relating to licensure. Love it or hate it, continuing education is here to stay for the foreseeable future.

The four professions governed by our Board each have a continuing education requirement and licensees must certify that they have completed the required continuing education at the time of renewal. The individual requirements for each profession can be found in the Board Rules, Division 2030. The continuing education rules for Architects, Professional Engineers, and Professional Landscape Architects are in Chapter 11 and can be accessed here: <http://www.sos.mo.gov/cmsimages/adrules/csr/current/20csr/20c2030-11.pdf>. The continuing education rules for Professional Land Surveyors are in Chapter 8 and can be accessed here: <http://www.sos.mo.gov/cmsimages/adrules/csr/current/20csr/20c2030-8.pdf>.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chairman's Letter	1
Architectural Division Letter	3
Professional Engineering Division Letter	3
Professional Land Surveying Division Letter	5
Professional Landscape Architectural Division Letter	6
Public Member Letter	7
A Year of Surprises	8
Moving?	9
Greitens Administration Establishes New Rulemaking Process	9
Beware of Overseas Providers	10
New Litigation Counsel Joins Board	10
Immediate Personal Supervision: Your Seal, Your Responsibility	11
Examination Dates and Filing Deadlines	13
Schedule of Upcoming Board Meetings	14
2016 year-end report	15
New Licensees	17
Disciplinary Actions	25



CHAIRMAN'S LETTER CONTINUED...

In short, the requirements for each profession are:

	Biennial Requirement	Additional Requirements
Architects	24 CEU's	16 of the 24 CEU's must be health, safety, and welfare related.
Professional Engineers	30 PDH's	Must maintain, improve, or expand skills and knowledge.
Professional Land Surveyors	20 PDU's	2 PDU's in Surveying Standards every 2 year period immediately preceding renewal. No more than 12 PDU's in nonpersonal contact.
Professional Landscape Architects	24 CEU's	16 of the 24 CEU's must be health, safety, and welfare related.

All licenses are renewed biennially. Licenses originally issued in even years expire on December 31 of each even-numbered year and licenses originally issued in an odd year expire on December 31 of each odd-numbered year. Renewals are mailed around October 1st of each year. If you are selected for audit, you typically have less than 90 days to gather all continuing education information, submit it, have your continuing education approved, and obtain your renewal before your license expires.

The Board normally discusses the status of the ongoing audit process at the November and January meetings each year. Below are my observations regarding the Division's reports and the process:

- Audit documentation was complete, on time and approved in approximately 50% of the submissions and their renewal was issued in short order.

The other submissions include:

- Audit documentation was complete, easy to follow, but submitted at the last minute. This happens more often than it should. Keep in mind that Board Members have personal and professional commitments and may not be available or have the time to review multiple audits the afternoon of December 31.


- Audit documentation was incomplete, hard to follow, and frequently submitted at the last minute. These submissions often require several iterations between the licensee, staff, and the audit chair. Having served as Audit Chair in the past, some audits could be reviewed and approved in 20 minutes, while some would take hours. Keep in mind that the four Division Audit Chairs will NOT approve a licensee for renewal just because time is running out. Also remember that licenses expire on December 31 and that if you are not renewed, you cannot practice.
- In a few cases, we see an audit submission where all or most of the requirements were completed on-line only after the audit notification was sent. Reading between the lines, it certainly looks like the licensee was about to renew without meeting the continuing education requirements. Remember that if you were not selected for audit, you are certifying that you met the continuing education requirements.
- We also see a few submissions where the licensee is claiming credit for a class that is not related to health, safety, welfare or their technical profession. Personal enrichment classes will not count toward the requirement.

Here are a few suggestions to ensure that the renewal goes as smoothly as possible:

- Understand the continuing education requirements of your profession.
- Don't wait until the last minute to earn your continuing education or make your audit submission.
- Submit documentation that is complete, organized and easy to follow.

The answer to the question I frequently hear is...yes, audit selection is a completely random process. I too was selected for audit several years ago.

Please feel free to contact me through the Board office if I can be of any service to you.





ARCHITECTURAL DIVISION LETTER

By: James C. (JC) Rearden, AIA, CSI, Division Chair



I have noticed over the years that some architects (not always, but often enough) defend their design decisions by saying “I have done it that way for years.” It’s time to consider what is wrong with relying upon such a statement as the sole defense for making a design decision. This is especially true if the reason you are being asked to defend your design is to determine whether you are qualified to make such decisions. In these situations, I believe that engineers have been better trained to keep a file of their design decisions than we are. This becomes more apparent when the issue of incidental practice is involved. For example, if we question an engineer about how he or she determined the size of the water line they specified to serve a small office building, chances are favorable that he/she will pull his/her design calculations out of their file. Ask an architect defending a complaint of practicing engineering the same question and, more likely than not, he/she will simply claim that he/she has always used a 3/4-inch line in this situation - everyone does. We have a duty as licensed professionals to have the discipline

of science behind every design direction we provide and be able to produce the documentation when needed.

In the last newsletter, I discussed the Board’s proposed new rule for professional seals. New procedures have been put in place which require our new rule to be resubmitted. One of the new requirements is for the Board to hold open hearings to ensure there has been adequate public input on the proposed changes. It never hurts to get more input. If you are interested in this issue, watch for information about the hearings.

In that same newsletter, I also discussed a Letter of Understanding that the Board had executed with NCARB that would provide for an architectural licensing reciprocity agreement between Missouri and Australia and New Zealand. Since that time, we have been advised that there are some provisions in conflict with Missouri statutes that must be resolved, so we have notified NCARB that we must withdraw from the agreement until we can resolve these matters. We still believe that such a reciprocity agreement with Australia and New Zealand will be good for Missouri architects and will continue to pursue it.

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DIVISION LETTER

By: Kevin C. Skibiski, PE, SE, PLS, Division Chair



I was asked to fill in for Board Chair Bob Hartnett at the recent NCEES Board President’s Assembly held on February 3 and 4, 2017 in Atlanta, Georgia. This Assembly is held biennially and was attended by 68 out of the 70 eligible Boards from across the country and U.S. Territories. I believe the attendee I met who traveled the farthest was the representative from the Northern Mariana Islands.

The networking between boards from all over is one of the important outcomes from attending this and other NCEES meetings. We represent your state as a board member, and discuss issues we have faced and solved, while gaining other perspectives on how other boards solved similar issues. Sometimes we gain new ideas on how to approach similar issues which occur in every state.

Most of the presentations at the Assembly were reports from NCEES staff and officers, including successful programs, and those that were not successful. NCEES staff takes direction from the delegates at the Annual Meeting and the Executive Board of the organization. I



PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DIVISION LETTER CONTINUED...

believe our staff at NCEES is one of the hardest working, dedicated group of people I have ever worked with. They give up many weekends with their families to travel to meetings in other states, or they stay in Clemson to assist weekend committee meetings.

Finances are always a topic for discussion at meetings like this. In general, NCEES is in very good financial shape. As of December 2016, NCEES was showing approximately \$3 million profit for the year, and the 2017 budget indicates a similar profit.

The number of exams (FE, PE, FS, PS) taken last year has mixed, but not surprising, results. The FS and PS show a significant decline in exams taken, which we are seeing here in Missouri. The FE is a computer based test (CBT) and recently started to increase slightly.

CBT testing is given through Pearson VUE testing centers across the country and select locations in Asia, the Middle East and other out of the country locations. Currently only the FE, FS, and PS tests are CBT based. Each exam is different, made up from a set of problems which can be mixed. The examinee may take and retake the exam once every 3 months, with a maximum of 3 times in a 12-month period. Test results are available in 7-10 days. There is no more lugging in a suitcase full of books, all information needed to take the test is provided with the test itself.

Per Pearson VUE statistics, 27% take the test on a Saturday and 23% take the test on a Friday. In the past year (2015-2016) 11,800 examinees rescheduled to take the test 18,400 times. One person rescheduled 20 times at an estimated cost of \$4000, and another person rescheduled 41 times and to date has not set foot in a testing center. These are extremes, but the point is the CBT system is as flexible as we can make testing for qualified people.

Transitioning from the paper and pencil to CBT for the PE exam is on the way with a switchover goal of 3 tests/year for 6 years, starting in 2018. The first disciplines to be switched are to be Chemical and Nuclear. Civil Engineering will be the last to make the switch in

2023. In the future, the 16 hour SE exam will also be considered for switching to CBT.

Some people feel mobility is the number one issue affecting Professional Engineers and Professional Land Surveyors today. NCEES is still working towards better mobility for the licensed professionals. There are currently 58 different paths to licensure existing today across the country. Following the NCEES Model Law path is accepted in 100% of the jurisdictions. Engineering Technology Degrees are accepted in some form in about 50% of the jurisdictions. A BS degree in a related science, combined with a Master's Degree or higher in Engineering is accepted in 38% of the jurisdictions. International degrees generally are subject to a review process to determine if they meet the same basic requirements as an ABET BS Degree in Engineering.

The one problem area discussed was implementation of the new registry system, where in addition to your licensure information, you can log in your continuing education information for storage and distribution to states upon request. Issues with installation and operation of the new computer system, tagged with inability to transfer information from older, previous record holders' files caused many problems for NCEES staff, both in implementation and public relations. We were assured this has been rectified and is well on its way to being a successful program for licensees.

That concludes the update as to discussions at the Assembly.

Do you have any questions about NCEES or the working relationship between your Board and NCEES? As always, if you have any questions or comments about this topic, or any other topic for the Missouri Board do not hesitate to contact us at the Board office.





PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING DIVISION LETTER

By: Michael C. Freeman, PLS, Division Chair



Time has always been an issue with Missouri Surveyors...there doesn't seem to be enough time to do all of the things that need to be done, i.e. preparing and supervising our field crews and office personnel. We don't expect you to be looking over their shoulders; however, our surveying complaints indicate that many of the licensees could be doing a better job supervising their personnel. I say this because we are still seeing a significant number of complaints resulting from improper or inadequate surveying procedures, things that could be corrected with better oversight. Many times this is the result of "short-cutting" the job. "Someone" has underpriced the job and the result is the surveyor doing less than adequate work trying to make up the difference.

We thought this had been resolved but some licensees are having issue with the Title Block Rule (20 CSR 2030-2.050). As the rule currently reads, you must include: (a) the name of the licensee, either as a sole proprietor, partnership, corporation, LLC or other appropriate entity; (b) the address and phone number; (c) the name or identification of the project/client; (d) the address/location of the project by city/county/state; (e) the date prepared; (f) space for licensee's signature, date and seal; (g) the printed name, discipline, and license number of the person sealing the document; and, (h) the printed name, discipline and certificate of authority number of the corporation or LLC as defined in 327.011, RSMo. However, there is no specific definition for what the "Title Block" looks like nor is there any specific location for the

Title Block placement. This issue was discussed at the January Board Meeting with the result being: The Title Block was specifically defined as an outline of some shape, usually a rectangle, along one edge or in one corner of the page containing the elements cited above. This information is now available on the Board Website at <http://pr.mo.gov/boards/apelsla2/apelsla/sample.pdf>.

Over the past couple of years we've received a number of anonymous complaints. Unlike many state boards, we do open an investigation and look into the complaint(s). We understand that some people are risking their livelihood by filing a complaint against their employer but feel strongly enough about the perceived egregious practices that they believe it should be reported and this is the only avenue they have to bring the issue to light. Unfortunately, that is not the case with most of the anonymous complaints. There are other cases where an anonymous complaint is filed by a disgruntled client or former employee solely to "get back" at the licensee. With every complaint, our investigator will spend a considerable amount of time bringing together information, interviewing parties and preparing a report. If your job is not at risk, take responsibility for the complaint. Allow us to respond to the issues in the complaint, something we cannot do if it is an anonymous complaint.


The final phase of the NCEES computer based testing (CBT) became a reality with the October 2016 PS exam. Testing for the PS exam is scheduled through NCEES at various Pearson VUE Testing sites around the state. Also in October, we began offering the State-Specific exams on a quarterly basis at the Board office in Jefferson City. The testing months are January, April, July, and October. Seats are limited and are being reserved by Board staff on a first-come basis.





PROFESSIONAL LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL DIVISION LETTER

By: Robert S. "Bob" Shotts, PLA, PLS, Division Chair



I am reminded of what my father told me when I was still a child concerning our perception of time. He told me that the older you get the quicker time seems to go. I had my doubts about that in the 1950's but I now understand what he was telling me. It has now been close to a year since Eric Davis joined the PLA Division of the Board and 3 years since Noel Fehr joined the Division. I would like to personally thank both of them for their service on the Board. Board Members are just like most licensed professionals, they have jobs, families and all the other commitments that come with life today. Both Noel and Eric (as well as the other Board Members) spend a considerable amount of time, not only in attending the four quarterly Board Meetings each year, but also many hours reading the weekly updates sent to us by the Board staff. Each Board Member is expected to be well familiar with the materials pertaining

to complaints and other issues that come before the entire Board, not just for their Division. This is no small commitment of time and effort and they are to be commended for their willingness to be a part of the Board.

The CLARB meeting will be held in Boise, Idaho this fall at which time we should receive updates on the status of the licensing exam as well as other issues pertaining to licensure. It is always interesting to hear from board members from other states. There seems to be a commonality in the kinds of issues that all licensing boards face. Some states have had funding issues, and as I have indicated before, Missouri is very fortunate to have a well run Board which has been able to reduce the renewal fees for both individuals and corporations.

If you work with or have contact with someone who is working toward licensure, encourage them to take advantage of two resources. The first is the Missouri Board website: <http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla.asp> and the second is the CLARB website: <http://www.clarb.org/>. I would also encourage any licensee to take the time to attend the open portion of one of the Board Meetings.





PUBLIC MEMBER LETTER

By: Sherry L. Cooper, Public Member



Before I retired, I worked for 22 years as a state legislative analyst for a national professional association representing a healthcare provider group. A substantial focus of my work was to support our 51 affiliated associations with the drafting or review of state legislation, the development of legislative strategies, and the creation of resource materials primarily related to scope of practice issues. Through my work I also gained an extensive background in the licensing and regulation of those professions that without

regulation by the states would pose a clear and present danger to the health, safety and welfare of the public. Over time I knew I wanted to serve Missouri as a lay member on a board. I was thrilled when I was chosen by the Governor and appointed in June 2014.

I recognize there are a few occupations currently licensed in some states that may not meet the threshold necessitating licensure. But, for those professions that do warrant regulation – such as the four regulated by this Board – I am a strong supporter of their licensure; as well as the time-tested professional self-regulatory system.

No-Cost, Safe and Effective Public Protection: It is important to recognize that the professional self-regulatory system does not cost the state money. Under this time-tested system – license, license renewal, and other fees fully fund boards as they perform their important public protection functions as an agency of the state. This is a huge benefit to the public.

Intrinsic Knowledge: At the core of the professional self-regulation system is the principle that only persons licensed to practice the profession have a comprehensive understanding of the education, training, and testing required to safely and effectively practice. This

knowledge is essential to properly assess qualifications for licensure, competent practice, unprofessional conduct, and other practice-related issues.

Public Involvement: While licensing boards must include licensed professional members – at the same time layperson participation on boards is essential to ensure that the public is meaningfully represented in the regulatory process. From a legal and practical perspective it is not recommended that layperson representation exceed a majority on any board as this would necessitate costly administrative adjustments to efficiently and effectively enforce the Practice Act. Section 327.031, RSMo, sets the membership of this Board at 15 members including a chairperson, three architects, four engineers, three land surveyors, three landscape architects, and a voting public member.

Public Member Participation: When I was appointed I knew that as the sole layperson on a board regulating four professions I had a great deal to learn and I looked forward to the challenge. After almost three years I still have much to learn, but am pleased to report that throughout my tenure all four Divisions have been very welcoming to my participation in their meetings. As a member of the Board, I have access to all materials and am able to ask questions or make comments during meetings or hearings conducted by each Division. While I only have authority (appropriately so) to cast a vote on matters that come before the full Board, I am otherwise able to bring the public perspective into each Division’s meetings. My original plan was to rotate through the four Division meetings – focusing on a different one at each quarterly Board meeting. In reality, I find that due to the number of issues coming before some of the Divisions my plan has not entirely worked out and I attend some Division meetings more than others. Nonetheless, I am conscious of the issues before each Division and am prepared to participate as needed.

The Board handles a large number of complaints and other issues in a thorough, professional, and thoughtful manner. Each Board Member takes his or her responsibility to enforce the Practice Act and protect the public very seriously. I am confident that my input, contributions, and perspective as a layperson representing the public are a valuable part of the process.





A YEAR OF SURPRISES

By: Eric D. Davis, Jr., PLA, Member of the Professional Landscape Architectural Division



G.K. Chesterton wrote “Blessed is he that expecteth nothing, for he shall be gloriously surprised” and that quote has certainly proven true when I look back on my first year with the Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Professional Landscape Architects. What makes it so true is that I could formulate no expectations about what the Board does, or what my role would be, before becoming part of it.

The first glorious surprise was the confirmation process itself. I was humbled and honored by the letters of support and recommendation I received from my colleagues. There were many procedural steps along the way to my hearing, each bringing me new experiences. The actual day of my confirmation I was met by Judy Kempker, the Board’s Executive Director, who sat with me as the proceedings started. She reassured me that most times, these hearings just sailed by with barely a question from any of the Senators. After the third appointee had been peppered with questions I turned and asked Judy if this was “normal”. Wide-eyed, she turned to me and said “no... they are asking a LOT of questions.” When my time came and Senator Kraus introduced me to the committee I nervously awaited my turn in front of the firing squad. After what seemed an eternity of dead silence, the Chairman said, “well, I guess you get off easy today. Thank you for your service.” My withdrawal from the chamber re-defined the term “dignified haste”.

The next surprise was my orientation with the Board staff. I was presented a rolling briefcase which was promptly filled to overflowing by Judy and her staff. There were forms, releases, waivers, letters; all manner of administrative procedures we had to go through. I looked at my ID photo the other day and I managed to nearly mask my look of bewilderment. Nearly.

Soon I was receiving official Board communication and, thanks to sage advice from our Board Chair, I began immediately reading everything that came my way. There was a lot more volume of correspondence than I expected but I found it a fascinating glimpse behind the curtain. I began seeing how the staff deftly and professionally gives the Board Members what they need. I was able to see how well the Division Chairs work with one another. Most of all I was able to see how seriously every member of the Board took his or her assignment.

The day of my first Board Meeting arrived and, like all my other experiences, it was a surprise as well. The mechanics of the meeting were fascinating. Each Division had its individual proceedings, guided by the Division Chair. These were followed by the full Board proceedings. I was struck that day by the mutual respect and sense of cooperation between all the members of the Board, no matter their profession. Moreover, I could tell that each member came to the meeting prepared and ready to conduct the business at hand.

Several people have told me that it takes a year to fully grasp how the Board works and how to fit in. There is a lot of merit in that perspective. I certainly understand the process of being a Board Member better than when I began. Two specific things I have come to understand are how great our Board staff is and how hard our Division Chairs work. These dedicated people make the task of a Board Member like me so much easier and keep us all focused on our shared mission to serve the licensed professionals in the State of Missouri.

I can’t wait for my next surprise.





MOVING?

PLEASE NOTIFY THE BOARD OFFICE OF YOUR NEW ADDRESS

The rules and regulations require all licensees to notify the Board of all such changes by sending a letter, e-mail, or change of address form to the office in Jefferson City, Missouri. Please include a street address to facilitate any express mail deliveries.

You can either send the change via the following link: <https://renew.pr.mo.gov/apelsla-coa.asp>; by email moapeplspla@pr.mo.gov; or by U.S. Mail to:

Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers,
Professional Land Surveyors and Professional
Landscape Architects
3605 Missouri Boulevard,
Jefferson City, MO 65109



GREITENS ADMINISTRATION ESTABLISHES NEW RULEMAKING PROCESS

Consistent with his advocacy of reducing regulatory burdens, new Missouri Governor Eric Greitens has directed state agencies to follow new procedures in the promulgation of administrative rules. In Executive Order 17-03, issued January 10, 2017, the Governor directed all state agencies to undertake a review of existing regulation and hold hearings allowing citizens to identify regulations which might be burdensome. The Order also requires state agencies to certify that existing and proposed regulations meet a variety of criteria relating to justification, costs and benefits, and burdens.

The Board has undertaken reviews of approximately thirty proposals which were published but not yet adopted as of January 1. The Board will hold hearings on these proposals at its April and July-August meetings, and submit its recommendations to the Governor's office consistent with the new procedure.

Disclaimer:

The articles in this newsletter are the work and opinion of the individual authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion or position of the Board.



BEWARE OF OVERSEAS PROVIDERS

Missouri licensees have brought to the Board’s attention a problem with overseas individuals or firms using email and electronic means to solicit business in design work for Missouri projects. Some of these providers offer CAD drafting services, but others have openly solicited business for designing and preparing plans for permits and construction. One ad forwarded to the Board offered:

- Architectural planning & design with permit drawing sheets
- Landscape drawing
- Architectural 3D view and model
- CAD conversion
- Other engineering services

All this at \$5 per hour.

The risk that private individuals will seek out services such as these in lieu of hiring competent licensed professional designers is obvious. Building and permit authorities are the main line of protection against the preparation of designs by unqualified persons.

Any licensees who receive propositions for such services should bear in mind the requirements of immediate personal supervision discussed in an article in this issue. Even in an age when electronic communications are dissolving many boundaries, it is difficult to see how a design professional in Missouri could provide the kind of supervision to a shop in a distant country consistent with the requirement that each step of the preparation process must be supervised.

NEW LITIGATION COUNSEL JOINS BOARD

As of January 1, 2017, Edwin R. Frownfelter has joined the Board in a new role as Litigation Counsel.

Mr. Frownfelter has practiced law in Pennsylvania and Missouri since 1976. Prior to January 1, 2017, he served for nine years as an Assistant Attorney General in the Governmental Affairs Division. With the Attorney General’s Office, his primary role was representing licensing boards in discipline and unauthorized practice cases. He successfully defended boards against constitutional challenges to licensing brought by apartment finders, equine dentists, and hair braiders. He also handled general governmental matters. Beginning in 2008, he was the primary liaison of the Attorney General’s Office to the Board, and has represented the Board in many of its licensing and unauthorized practice cases.

In his new role with the Board, Mr. Frownfelter will be counsel for the Board in most licensing-related cases before the Missouri and Federal courts and the Administrative Hearing Commission. He will also provide support to the Board and staff in investigatory matters, legal inquiries, and analysis of legislation, administrative rules, and legal developments, and will assist Executive Director Judy Kempker in communications and management projects. Curtis F. Thompson remains General Counsel advising the Board in legal matters.





IMMEDIATE PERSONAL SUPERVISION: YOUR SEAL, YOUR RESPONSIBILITY

by Edwin Frownfelter, Litigation Counsel

“Immediate personal supervision” is a key concept in Missouri law governing architects, professional engineers, professional land surveyors, and professional landscape architects. In an environment when licensed professionals often work in teams with other disciplines and occupations, it is essential for each professional to understand when she or he may seal a technical submission in preparation of which others have played a part. In other jurisdictions this concept is sometimes referred to as “responsible control” ([NCARB Rules of Conduct](#)) or “responsible charge” ([NCEES Model Law](#)).

The greatest significance of the concept of immediate personal supervision lies in [Section 327.411](#) of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, which specifies the requirements as to a professional’s use of her or his personal seal on technical submissions. The statute adds that the licensee “shall either prepare or personally supervise the preparation of all documents sealed by the licensee.” Thus, any time a licensee seals documents on which another person or entity, whether licensed or not, has performed work, she or he makes a representation that the licensee personally supervised the work consistently with the requirements of immediate personal supervision.

The Board has further defined the level of care that meets the requirement of immediate personal supervision in a regulation codified at [20 CSR 2030-13.010](#). Subsection (1) of this rule states circumstances which must be met in order for the licensee’s involvement to be considered immediate personal supervision. These include:

- The client must **request the services directly from the licensee** or an employee who works directly for the licensee in the same place of business.
- The licensee must **supervise each step** of the preparation of the specifications, drawings, reports, engineering surveys or other documents, and must **have input prior to their completion**.

- The licensee must **review** the submissions prior to sealing, must have the **ability to make changes** to them, and **must make any appropriate changes**.

These requirements are particularly critical in situations where the client’s original relationship was with another party – perhaps another licensee or even an unlicensed person such as a contractor – and that person, rather than the client, seeks the licensee’s involvement in the project. The licensee cannot take directions from the third party, but must form a direct communication relationship with the ultimate client. The licensee must become directly involved in the process of creating the submissions, not merely approve and seal already completed work prepared by the third party.

The Board has seen situations in which the licensee forms a referral relationship with a contractor, drafting company, or other unlicensed person, and merely signs off on and seals work prepared and sent over by that party. This is called “plan stamping,” and it does not meet the requirements of immediate personal supervision set out in [Section 327.411](#) and [20 CSR 2030-13.010](#). Under the terms of [Section 327.441.2\(6\)](#), RSMo, a licensee who seals technical submissions prepared by others not under her or his immediate personal supervision is subject to discipline for violating a provision of Chapter 327 or a regulation adopted under the authority of that chapter. Licensees should beware of situations where they are asked to review and seal technical submissions which come to them already prepared, and where all the client’s communications are addressed to the third party seeking the review.

In addition, the licensee who seals work performed by an unlicensed person or by a licensed professional acting outside his or her area of qualification may be guilty of assisting the unauthorized practice of the profession involved, in violation of [Section 327.441.2\(10\)](#), RSMo. Such cases frequently result in discipline of the sealing professional’s license.





IMMEDIATE PERSONAL SUPERVISION: YOUR SEAL, YOUR RESPONSIBILITY CONTINUED...

20 CSR 2030-13.010 (1) (D) addresses situations in which a licensee is called upon to seal technical submissions based on documents prepared and sealed by another licensee. This question arose to prominence in a 2008 decision of the Missouri Supreme Court in [Bird v. Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors, and Landscape Architects](#). In that case the Court held that a professional engineer who sealed plans incorporating the work of an architect who was no longer involved in the project did not violate the requirements of immediate personal supervision.

The Board subsequently amended 20 CSR 2030-13.010 (1) (D) to specify conditions under which a licensee may rely on work prepared by another professional. The current rule permits a licensee to seal technical submissions in reliance on work done by others:

- when a licensee who prepared or sealed the original work is no longer available;
- when the work is a site adaptation of a standard design drawing, or
- when using a design drawing signed and sealed by an out-of-jurisdiction licensee.

The successor professional must develop a complete design file with work or design criteria, calculations, code research, and any necessary and appropriate changes to the work. The successor licensee must have control necessary to make any necessary changes and must take responsibility for the design as a whole. He or she need not redo work performed by non-professionals such as drafters, but the plans must clearly and accurately reflect the successor licensee's work.





EXAMINATION DATES AND FILING DEADLINES

The **Fundamentals of Engineering** and the **Fundamentals of Surveying Examination** are offered at Pearson VUE testing centers in a Computer Based Testing (CBT) format. The examinations are offered year round. There are four testing windows which are the four quarters of the year. A candidate may not sit for the examination more than once per window and no more than three times in a twelve month period. Candidates for the FE or FS examination must register directly with NCEES at www.ncees.org. After successfully completing the FE or FS examination, examinees will need to complete an application for enrollment as an engineer intern or land surveyor-in training. Application forms can be obtained from the Board's web site; <http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla.asp>.

An application to take the Principles and Practice of Engineering Examination must be received and approved by the Board **prior** to registering with NCEES to take the examination. Application forms are available on the Board's website; <http://pr.mo.apelsla.asp>. After your application is approved by the Board, you will be provided with instructions to schedule with NCEES.

Principles and Practice of Engineering Examination Dates	Application Filing Deadline	Re-Examination/ Re-Scheduling Application Filing Deadline
October 27, 2017	June 1, 2017	August 15, 2017
April 13, 2018	December 1, 2017	February 15, 2018
October 26, 2018	June 1, 2018	August 15, 2018
April 5, 2019	December 1, 2018	February 15, 2019
October 25, 2019	June 1, 2019	August 15, 2019

An application to take the **Principles of Surveying Examination** must be received and approved by the Board prior to registering with NCEES to take the examination. Application forms are available on the Board's website; <http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla.asp>. The examination is offered year round and will be administered only at approved Pearson VUE testing centers. There are four testing windows which are the four quarters of the year. Candidates may take the examination only one time per testing window and no more than three times in a twelve month period.

After your application is approved by the Board, you will be provided with a form to schedule the **Missouri Specific Examination**.

Missouri Specific Examination Dates	Application Filing Deadline	Re-Examination/ Re-Scheduling Application Filing Deadline
July 19, 2017	May 1, 2017	June 1, 2017
October 18, 2017	August 1, 2017	September 1, 2017
January 17, 2018	November 1, 2017	December 1, 2017
April 18, 2018	February 1, 2018	March 1, 2018
July 18, 2018	May 1, 2018	June 1, 2018
October 17, 2018	August 1, 2018	September 1, 2018

A completed application for examination or re-examination/ re-scheduling must be received in the Board office no later than the filing deadline; NO EXCEPTION.

Please access www.ncees.org for additional examination dates and other useful information.



EXAMINATION DATES AND FILING DEADLINES CONTINUED...

2017 L.A.R.E. Administration Dates & deadlines



Registration will be open May 8 - July 28. Cancellation deadline: July 28



Registration will be open September 5 - November 27. Cancellation deadline: November 27

Upcoming administration dates and deadlines are subject to change.

SCHEDULE OF UPCOMING BOARD MEETINGS



July-Aug 31-1

Kansas City area



Nov 2-3

Springfield area



Jan 29-30

Jefferson City area



April 23-24

St. Louis area

Meeting locations may vary. For current information please view notices on our website at http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla-meetings.asp or call the board office.

If you are planning on attending any of the meetings listed above, notification of special needs should be forwarded to the Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors, and Professional Landscape Architects, 3605 Missouri Boulevard, Jefferson City, MO 65109 or by calling 573-751-0047 to ensure available accommodations. The text telephone for the hearing impaired is 800-735-2966.

Note: Board Meeting Notices are posted on our web site at http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla-meetings.asp



2016 YEAR-END REPORT

NUMBER OF EXAMINATIONS ADMINISTERED

Architects Licensed by Examination	-	95
Professional Engineering Examinations (April 2016 and October 2016)	-	373 candidates - 245 passed - 128 failed
Principles and Practice of Professional Land Surveying Examinations (April, 2016 only since PS Exam went computer based beginning October 2016)	-	7 candidates - 4 passed - 3 failed
Missouri Specific Land Surveying (April 2016 and October 2016)	-	41 candidates (Part I) - 43 candidates (Part II) - 14 passed (Part I) - 22 passed (Part II) - 27 failed (Part I) - 21 failed (Part II)
Professional Landscape Architects Licensed by Examination	-	11

NUMBER OF LICENSES GRANTED BY COMITY:

Architectural	153
Professional Engineering	541
Professional Land Surveying	13
Professional Landscape Architects	12

NUMBER OF CORPORATE CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY ISSUED:

Architectural	84
Engineering	158
Land Surveying	14
Landscape Architectural	10

TOTAL NUMBER OF LICENSEES:

	*28,153
Architects	4,757
Professional Engineers	16,398
Professional Land Surveyors	859
Professional Landscape Architects	348
<i>Total Individual Licensees with Active Status</i>	<u>22,362</u>
Architects with Inactive Status	358
Professional Engineers with Inactive Status	1,936
Professional Land Surveyors with Inactive Status	132
Professional Landscape Architects with Inactive Status	31
<i>Total Individual Licensees with Inactive Status</i>	<u>2,457</u>
Architectural Corporations	966
Professional Engineering Corporations	1,976
Professional Land Surveying Corporations	294
Professional Landscape Architectural Corporations	98
<i>Total Corporate Licenses</i>	<u>3,334</u>

* Includes active and inactive for individuals and corporations.

TOTAL NUMBER OF INTERNS/LSITS:

	30,785
Engineer Interns Enrolled	30,302
Land Surveyors-in-Training Enrolled	483

STATISTICS FOR 2016

Total Number of Complaint Cases Pending at the beginning of 2016:	52
Total Number of Discipline Cases Pending at the beginning of 2016:	9
Total Number of new Complaint Cases Filed in 2016:	62
Total Number of Cases Closed in 2016:	48





BREAKDOWN OF ALLEGATIONS FOR ALL NEW COMPLAINTS FILED IN 2016 (Note: each NEW complaint may have multiple allegations.)

Unlicensed practice of architecture (individual)	10
Unlicensed practice of engineering (individual)	7
Unlicensed practice of land surveying (individual)	5
Unlicensed practice of landscape architecture (individual)	1
Unlicensed practice of architecture (corporation)	8
Unlicensed practice of engineering (corporation)	11
Unlicensed practice of land surveying (corporation)	1
Unlicensed practice of landscape architecture (corporation)	0
Incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty	27
Disciplined in another state	5
Code of Professional Conduct violation	11
Minimum Standards violation	10
Immediate Personal Supervision violation	10
Title Block Rule violation	4
Plan Stamping	3
Use of Fraud, Deception, Misrepresentation in Securing a License	3
Failure to Report Out of State Discipline on a Renewal	4
Political Subdivisions not using Licensed Design Professionals	2
Criminal Prosecution	1

BREAKDOWN OF DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS IMPOSED IN 2016

Revocation	2
Probation	9
Civil Penalty	3
Probated Corporate Certificate of Authority Issued & Civil Penalty	3
Voluntary Surrender of Corporate Certificate of Authority	1
Voluntary Surrender of Architectural License	1

BREAKDOWN OF CASES CLOSED IN 2016

Corrective Measures Were Taken/Came into Compliance	11
Warning/Letter of Concern was issued	4
Written Assurances Received	5
Went Inactive	2
No Evidence of a Violation	8
Paid Civil Penalty	3
Voluntary Surrender of Certificate of Authority	1
Not within the jurisdiction of the Board	3
Found no Cause for Discipline	1
Satisfactory completion of probation	7
Revocation	2

STATISTICS ON HOUSE BILL 600 CASES

Total Number of Licensees Suspended at the Beginning of 2016:	9
Total Number of Licensees Suspended in 2016:	7
Number of Licensees Who Came into Compliance in 2016:	4

UNLICENSED PRACTICE:

1 As you can see in 2016 the Board has had 23 complaints filed against individuals and 20 complaints filed against corporations which were charged with practicing architecture, professional engineering, professional land surveying and/or professional landscape architecture without being properly licensed. If an individual or corporation refuses to voluntarily cease and desist all illegal activities and to conduct all future activities in compliance with Chapter 327, then the Board can request the Attorney General's office to file suit in the appropriate circuit court seeking an injunction to restrain that individual or corporation from practicing architecture, professional engineering, professional land surveying and/or professional landscape architecture without a license. Another option the Board has is to refer the matter to the Attorney General's Office to seek authority to assess a civil penalty. A civil penalty imposed under Section 327.077 can be as high as \$5,000 for each offense. However, each day of a continued violation constitutes a separate offense, with a maximum penalty of \$25,000. The Board assessed \$42,400 in civil penalties in 2016.



NEW LICENSEES

ARCHITECTS

The following individuals were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

Abbott, Austin M.
 Abdelaziz, Hala Juma
 Atkins, James Bruce
 Bagnick, Brian C.
 Bailey, David A.
 Baker, Andrea
 Barnes, Megan
 Barnett, Matthew
 Barton, Scott
 Berry, Andrew
 Beverlin, Amber A.
 Boen, Nathan Kent
 Bright, Jesse Forrest
 Brinkley, Jonathan
 Buono, Joshua
 Butler, Jason
 Chapman, Thomas W.
 Clagett, Christina
 Clark, Brian M.
 Clay, Sharon Marie
 Close, Ryan Daniel
 Clough, Gary Daniel
 Collins, Sam
 Cutberth, Jeffrey L.
 Dalton, Jason
 DeRoo, Bradley W.
 Doctor, Brent A.
 Dodson, Shaun Michael

Doebler, Ronald
 Escobar, James Louis, Sr.
 Forgey, David Matthew
 Foster, E. Russell
 Freyaldenhoven, Stephen Lynn
 Garner, Leslie Ann
 Gilliland, Dwight N.
 Gloriod, Lauren Anne
 Gould, Sarah J.
 Gray, Neil T.
 Haggmark, Jake
 Hainline, Jason Scott
 Hayes, Suzanne Malissa
 Hensley, April Eileen
 Higgins, Taylor A
 Holmes, Don R.
 Hyland, Heidi Marie
 Jennings, Walter Waits
 Jensen, Christopher R
 Jones, Chad Alan
 Kamus, John Howard
 Khanna, Kapil
 Klossner, Aaron
 Krawiec, Michael
 Lago, Leon O.
 Lampier, Alison
 Linehan, Kenneth
 Liptak, Helen M.

Lyons, Adam N
 Mano, Matthew A.
 Mass, Kevin Anthony
 Mastin, John Matthew
 MBoxman, Amanda M.
 McGill, Clayton
 McMahan, Michael J.
 Mehrtens, Kristopher Thomas
 Metts, Peter H.
 Mitchell, Albert P.
 Mixon, John Charles Sanders
 Molzahn, Michael P.
 Morales, Christopher W.
 Morgan, Kelly B.
 Myers, Richard L.
 Nadolski, Kenneth
 Neal, Charles R
 Page, Jerry C.
 Patterson, Charlotte Mary
 Patterson, Michael B.
 Perry, Michael Lance
 Powell, Dylan Arthur
 Randall, Michael B.
 Reeves, Ian A.
 Renner, Daniel Brian
 Riekhof, Caleb
 Saffiotti, Giuseppe Bologna
 Schiefer, Andrew Edward

Schneider, Mark D.
 Schopf, Anne
 Schroeder, Ryan A.
 Scott, Michael Preston
 Shuford, Delbert D.
 Sickeler, Richard Scott
 Sides, Devon B.
 Small, Thomas
 Smith, B. Matthew
 Sohl, John A.
 Sorg, David John
 Spangler, Todd Anthony
 Spikes, Jeffri A.
 Stoverink, Jean
 Thorpe, Lana Nicole
 Top, Lori Ann
 Tracz, David
 Wagner, Adam L.
 Walker, Jory M.
 Waynick, Jonathan L.
 Whitaker, John P
 Williams, Brent Drew
 Williams, James D.
 Winger, Joseph Nowland
 Winkelmann, George Henry
 Wyandon, Jarvis M.
 Young, Christopher M.





ARCHITECTURAL CORPORATIONS/LLCs

The following corporations and LLCs were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

AEI of Tennessee, PC
Anthony Duncan Architect, LLC
Architecture Design Collaborative, Inc.
Blackburn Architects P.C.
Brewster & Crocker Architects, P.C.
Carlson Walbridge Associates LLC
Cities Edge, LLC
Columbia Civil Engineering Group, LLC
DE|SL LLC
Defilippis + Associates, Inc
Design-Build Evolutions - Missouri, LLC
Evans Taylor Foster Childress Architects, PC
Facility Design Group, LLC
Falk Architects Inc.
FLX Architecture LLC
Gardner Architects, LLC
Group One Partners, Inc
Grubbs and Associates, LLC
Hastings Architecture Associates, LLC
HBA Architecture & Interior Design, Inc
Jencen Architecture LLC
JLL Architecture, LLC
John Wisniewski Architect, LLC

Kem Studio Inc.
Leech-Hensley Architects, Inc
Merriman Pitt/Anderson, Inc.
Method Studio, a Professional Corporation
MSKTD & Associates, Inc
Nelson Forensics, LLC
NNE Pharmaplan, Inc
Page Southerland Page, Inc.
Premier Civil Engineering, LLC
Record Architectural Design LLC
Ryan A Schroeder, Architect, LLC
Studio [Intrigue] Architects, LLC
The Mollenkopf Design Group, L.L.C.
Wolfe Architecture & Design LLC



PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

The following individuals were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Abbott, Charles M., Jr. | Boyce, Matthew Joseph | Cochran, Scott M. | English, James F. |
| Adams, Shane M. | Boyd, Justin Joseph, Jr. | Collings, Stephen William | Eudaley, Cabot |
| Ahuja, Nitesh | Bradley, Stephen Todd | Connor, James McDean, III | Eusey, Ashley Rae |
| Ainsworth, Erik Barton | Bradshaw, Tyler | Connor, Jeremy J. | Evans, Zachary Tyler |
| Ajgaonkar, Sheetal Vijay | Braun, Brandon Anthony | Connors, Nathan Kyle | Farney, Christopher John |
| Aldridge, John Robert | Brisbois, Michael | Conrad, Darren Ray | Farrelly, James Kirkpatrick |
| Allen, Jason D. | Brontoli, Mario Joseph | Conradi, David Kendall | Fellin, Marco Joseph |
| Anderson, Aaron A. | Brower, Michael A. | Cooksey, Steven R. | Fenley, Quinton Joseph |
| Ardebili, Omid | Brown, Andrew Dale Yuk Chung | Covington, Thomas John | Ferguson, Adam C. |
| Arterbery, Brian K. | Bryant, Amy Michelle | Cowger, Leslie R. | Ferguson, Chris B. |
| Ashe, Kenneth W. | Bryant, Corey Wayne | Coy, Ellen Kay | Finley, Wendy Wagner |
| Asire, Selena Solis | Bulla, Sean | Crawford, Benjamin David | Fleenor, James Day |
| Bacon, Chad Anthony | Burgett, Michael A. | Crowley, Amy M. | Flournoy, Andrew Thomas |
| Baer, Michael L. | Burgmeier, Phillip Andrew | Crownover, Chad D. | Forbis, Timothy Michael |
| Bailey, Jamie Lewis | Burk, Kai | Dabic, Rasko | Forinash, P. Dennis |
| Baker, Gayle C. | Burtness, Thomas H. | Damery, Ryan Patrick Dale | Fraley, Judson L. |
| Banes, Scot E | Busscher, Jason Bruce | De Santiago, Eduardo | Franklin, John C., Jr. |
| Banner, Arron Scott | Byrne, Daniel Robert | Deal, Charles Edward, IV | Freese, William Cody |
| Barker, Alex E. | Campbell, Kenneth Scott | Dennis, Michael S. | Freeston, Donald Eugene |
| Barnum, Thomas V. | Cantrell, Daniel | Denton, Jeffrey Lee | Friesen, Brian Michael |
| Barrows, Heather R. | Cardwell, Dean O. | Diaz-Velazquez, Jose J. | Frishman, Dana Leroy |
| Bass, Derek James | Carlile, Cailie Arielle | Dickerson, Aaron Scott | Fritz, Wolfgang Ulrich |
| Bauer, James P. | Case, Matthew Richard | Dienberg, Erik L. | Gagliardi, James Nicholas |
| Becker, John Patrick | Chambon, Bradley E. | Dirbaz, Mojtaba | Garcia Ledesma, Figerald J. |
| Beilman, Sean D. | Chandler, Harold David | Drake, Daniel B. | Garman, Richard Guy |
| Bettencourt, Russell Lawrence | Christiansen, Joshua W. | Earhart, Addison James | Gaspard, Amy Noelle |
| Birbeck, David Alan | Cima, Michael David | Ebmeier, Ryan J. | Gerlach, Jennifer Leigh |
| Boehm, Emily Elizabeth | Clark, Zachary Raymond | Ehlers, Danielle Nicole | Gleason, Michael F. |
| Bogenpohl, Erica R. | Click, John Jacob | Eisen, Michael Louis | Gogus, Akin Y. |
| Bongiovanni, Andrew Joseph | Clime, Tyler Michael | Elder, Jeffrey Daniel | Goldberg, Daniel E. |
| Booher, David | Clinton, Kevin D. | Elling, Paul N. | Good, Bryce D. |



PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CONTINUED...

Gormley, David Reid
 Gourdon, Jeremy Jay
 Grapsas, David John
 Green, John G., II
 Grier, Nathaniel J.
 Guinta, Dave Robert
 Gump, Gerald B.
 Haight, Todd A.
 Halladay, Dana Stanley
 Hammoud, Bassam M.
 Hanchey, Craig Michael
 Hanlon, Scott Michael
 Hardt, Keith Aaron
 Harrison, Preston Lee
 Hartnett, Christopher Anthony
 Hasbrouck, Gregory T.
 Hay, Robert C., Sr.
 Hays, Deyona E.
 Heger, John Charles
 Heilwagen, Bradley David
 Heitman, Andrea
 Helgeson, Nathan Thomas
 Henquinet, Christopher R.
 Henson, Stacy Trevor
 Hermsen, Austin Alexander
 Hird, David Brandon
 Hoffman, Nathan Christopher
 Hohman, Douglas C.
 Holland, Valerie Ann
 Hollmann, Eric K.
 Holmes, Jordan Lynn
 Holtmann, Lisa Virginia

Hosinski, Benjamin David
 Hover, Joshua N.
 Howard, Christopher Charles
 Howd, Timothy A.
 Howell, Robert Bonner
 Hoyt, Daniel Scott
 Hubbartt, James Daniel
 Hughes, Gregory Daren
 Hunt, Jennifer Dawn
 Isner, Keith Allen
 Jackson, Beau Howell
 Jansen, Craig Allen
 Jenkins, Wyatt Steven
 Jensen, Timothy M.
 Johnson, Bradley B.
 Johnson, Matthew Alan
 Johnson, Michael Robert
 Johnson, Nicholas Steven
 Karimzadeh, Nasser
 Karlberg, John M.
 Karwick, David Daniel
 Katz, Jason Allen
 Keener, Travis Joel
 Keil, Darren T.
 Kennedy, Andrew Lee
 Khanna, Anuradha
 Kianfar, Jalil
 Kibler, Stephen John
 Kingrey, Everett Lionell
 Kinslohr, James L.
 Kispert, Thomas J.
 Klaiman, Jeffrey M.

Klein, Lucas D.
 Klein, Mitchell R.
 Kottwitz, Christopher B.
 Kraeuter, David Thomas
 Krause, Blane Alan
 Kruse, Joshua Ryan
 Kuhlman, Mark Hamre
 Lach, David A.
 Lammer, Christopher Lee
 Lappin, Daniel Anthony
 Lau, Ernest
 Laufenberg, Thomas Robert
 Learned, Charles Scott
 Ledin, Jeffrey R.
 Leising, Luke Jeremy
 Lenard, Benjamin Luke
 Leonard, Michael S.
 Lewis, Aaron R.
 Lewis, Joseph Charles
 Lewis, Steven Ross
 Li, Zhe
 Liao, Tao
 Linette, Justin Peter
 Little, Robert S.
 Loos, Jonathan Ryan
 Loredo, Javier
 Lusk, Braden Trex
 Luther, James G.
 MacDonald, Erik Michael
 Mackley, Andrew Rickard
 Madara, Melissa A.
 Mann, Daniel Allen

Mapel, Brian Frank
 Marcanik, John David
 Marsden, Zachary R.
 Marshall, Brian
 Marshall, Christopher David
 Martin, Robert Anthony
 Martyn, David John
 Mascarello, John A.
 Masi, Jay Michael
 Mason, Alexander Martin, IV
 Mauricio, Monica Hernandez
 McLaughlin, Michael Dean
 Meade, Mark E.
 Megginson, Steven W.
 Mena, Hugo E.
 Mewes, Jason Lee
 Miller, Kevin Thomas
 Mimlitz, James Edward
 Mitchell, Adam Timothy
 Mitchell, Muna Mae
 Mitchell, Rebecca
 Moesch, Nikolas Wayne
 Momme, Jeffrey Eugene
 Morton, Daniel Lance
 Mosher, Mitchell Tyler
 Motloch, Logan Douglas
 Muenks, Matthew J.
 Mular, John R.
 Munce, Charles William
 Murphey, Jason
 Myers, Tyler R.
 Nanak, Matthew Jonathan



PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CONTINUED...

- Ng, Lewis Y.
- Norment, Seth Garrett
- Norris, Kevin Eugene
- Nyanjom, Joseph
- Oates, Matthew Steven
- Obszanski, Mark E.
- O’Kane, Adam Christopher
- O’Regan, Philip James
- Orr, Casey B.
- O’Shaughnessy, Kevin
Thomas Foy
- Paan, Padma
- Pachikara, Bruce
- Palakandy, Rahul Rajendran
- Palanca, Joseph
- Parker, Ryan Andrew
- Parsons, Kyle Austin
- Patel, Ankit Bharat
- Paul, Travis Reed
- Petersen, Aaron
- Peterson, Allen E.
- Peterson, Anthony C.
- Peterson, David James
- Peterson, Greg Julius
- Petkov, Plamen
- Phanthala, Johnny B.
- Pickett, Timothy Christopher
- Pine, Robert Alan, Jr.
- Plecnik, Joseph M.
- Poduska, Daryl Jason
- Polena, Sotiel Sam
- Potts, Shane N.
- Poulos, Jamie T.
- Pretorius, Willem Jurgens
- Priebe, Donald Everett
- Puckett, Brad G.
- Quinlivan, Matthew Thomas
- Raczkowski, Laura J.
- Rademacher, Michael William
- Ramey, Ronald
- Ramirez, Richard P.
- Ramirez, Tahzay
- Ransom, George Henry
- Ray, Malcolm Howard
- Raymer, John Herbert
- Recker, Daniel J.
- Reece, Kyle Matthew
- Reed, Banning J.
- Rees, Gareth T.
- Riaz, Muhammad Tahir
- Riddick, James Arthur, Jr.
- Riehle, Mark Anthony
- Rivera, Jose Juan
- Roberts, Keith R.
- Roberts, Mark G.
- Robertson, Andrew Gordon
- Robinson, Gerald Prell, Jr.
- Robinson, Samuel Bailey
- Roderick, LesLee Steve Sullens
- Roscetti, Garry Thomas
- Ross, Craig Thomas
- Rothweiler, David J.
- Roux, Christopher J.
- Rozeluk, John Gregory
- Ruehl, Shawn Michael
- Rush, Michael L.
- Samuelson, Ryan Adam
- Sander, Jonathan J.
- Sanders, Kelly A.
- Saneholtz, Scott R.
- Sarraji, Wisam S.
- Savalia, Prashant
- Schaefer, Kyle Richard
- Schweitzer, Gordon Donald, III
- Seaman, Dustin Riley
- Sellers, Julie Elaine
- Seto, John
- Seward, Paul D.
- Seybert, Russell A.
- Shah, Vatsal Atulkumar
- Sharkey, Michael J.
- Shaw, Ryan David
- Shepard, Michael Shane
- Sherp, Patrick Michael
- Shetye, Gunjan Ashok
- Sierra, Daniel Jose
- Simmerman, Lucas Michael
- Simmons, Cynthia Renee Mizell
- Sims, Michael
- Sitz, Jimmie A.
- Skomp, Tyler Sean
- Slaninka, Kenneth Frank, Jr.
- Smith, David Ryan
- Smith, Matthew R.
- Smolinski, Terrelle Lee
- Solberg, Andy John
- Somogie, Isaac S.
- Sorrells, Jeffrey D.
- Sprague, Justin David
- Stackhouse, Timothy William
- Steinman, Shane Michael
- Stephens, John William
- Stiver, John Maury
- Stolarczyk, John Andrew
- Straatmann, Warren Dale
- Strodtman, Kenneth Joseph
- Struemph, Daniel A.
- Stutz, Matt K.
- Sullivan, Daniel J.
- Swindell, Andrew
- Taft, Jacob D.
- Talbott, Amanda K.
- Tanner, Matthew Todd
- Taylor, Terry C.
- Tesfu, Bethelehem
- Tewell, Samantha Jay
- Thibeault, Richard
- Thoenen, Dustin M.
- Thornton, Donald I., Jr.
- Torres Goitia, Juan Javier
- Trama, Nitin
- Tran, Jessica L.
- Trujillo, Edgar
- Tuck, Brian K.
- Tuombe, Emmanuel
- Turner, R. Peatross, III
- Twidwell, Ross A.
- Varughese, Jeffrey A.





PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CONTINUED...

Verslues, Jared Wayne	Weidenbenner, Alexander Ray	Williamson, Michael D.	Yarrow, Josh Allen
Vilbig, Reid A.	Weimer, Michael A.	Wilson, Christopher Daniel	Yeiser, Jordan Mark
Villareal, Marsha Lynn	Wenk, Justin D.	Wilson, Taylor Paul Warner	Yeranosyan, Vachagan
Voss, Jody Edward	Whisler, Mindy Susan	Winberry, Natalie Magill	Zabel, David W.
Voss, Russell U.	White, James K.	Winter, Charles J.	Zager, Brian Thomas
Vu, Dung	White, John Edward	Wirgau, Scott A.	Zhang, Feng (Tom)
Walker, Jessica Lea	Whiteside, Robert Pierce	Wittlin, Jonathan B.	Zhang, Yi
Walker, Travis P.	Whitten, Michael L.	Wombacher, Matthew Thomas	Ziegler, Tyler J.
Wanless, Lawrence Todd	Wiesner, Brady Neil	Wood, Nathaniel Victor	Zivnuska, Alexander Frederick
Wassman, Alexander Lee	Wilkinson, Myles Alexander	Wright, Kenneth Robert	
Watts, Austin L.	Williams, Cameron Ross	Wroblewski, Daniel A.	
Wehmeyer, Emily Jean	Williams, Craig Allen	Yao, Scott	

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING CORPORATIONS/LLCS

The following corporations and LLCs were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>Alliance Consulting Engineers LLC
 Amteck, LLC
 Associated Design Group, Inc.
 ATC Group Services LLC
 Audubon Engineering Solutions, LLC
 Audubon Field Solutions, LLC
 BBG&S Engineering Consultants, Inc.
 Bergmann Engineering Company, LLC
 Bohler Engineering TX, LLC
 Cameron-Cole, LLC
 Carlson Walbridge Associates LLC
 Cedar Creek Engineering, Inc.
 CGH Consulting Services, LLC
 Clarida & Ziegler Engineering Co.
 Colvin Engineering, LLC
 Conn Engineering Consultants, Inc.</p> | <p>Cribb Philbeck Weaver Group, Inc.
 Crosspoint Engineering, LLC
 Design Mechanical, Inc.
 Dewberry Engineers Inc.
 DSPC Engineers, P.C.
 Eaton Corporation
 Eco Integration, Inc.
 EEI Acquisition Corp.
 Engineered Solution, LLC
 Environmental Specialty Solutions, Inc.
 Excellence Engineering, LLC
 Excelsior Engineering, LLC
 Fluhrer Reed, PC
 G2 Consulting Engineers, Inc.
 Gateway Design and Construction Services, LLC
 General Electric International, Inc.</p> |
|--|--|





PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING CORPORATIONS/LLCS CONTINUED...

Global Fire Protection Group, LLC
 HNA Engineering, LLC
 Hometown Lawn, LLC
 Hurricane Hill Development Company LLC
 Hydration Engineering LLC
 IMEG Corp.
 Industrial TurnAround Corporation
 Integral Group LLC
 J C Hines and Associates, LLC
 Kam Design Group LLC
 KEI Keystone Engineering Inc.
 KFW Management, LLC
 Krech, Ojard & Associates, P.C.
 Lee & Company, LLC
 Luzco Technologies, LLC
 Maguire Iron, Inc.
 MHT Professional Engineering Inc
 Moore and Associates Engineering and Consulting, PC
 MRBraz & Associates, LLC
 MSA Professional Services, Inc.
 MSKTD & Associates Inc
 Nelson Forensics, LLC
 NewTran Solutions, LLC
 Northern Engineering Services, Inc.
 Nyang Engineering LLC
 P1 Group, Inc.

Page Southerland Page, Inc.
 Pearson Engineering LLC
 Prairie Engineers of Illinois, P.C.
 Primera Engineers, Ltd.
 Providence Infrastructure Consultants, Inc.
 RES America Construction Inc.
 Richard Parmeter Consulting LLC
 River Valley Testing Corp
 RTB Engineering, P.C.
 SEL Engineering Services, Inc.
 Shoreline Surveying and Engineering, LLC
 Smith Monroe Gray Engineers, Inc.
 Southport Engineered Systems, LLC
 Spartan Engineering Solutions, LLC
 Sprinkle Consulting, Inc.
 StressCrete Inc.
 Subsurface Constructors Incorporated
 The Transtec Group, Inc.
 Thompson Civil, LLC
 TTG Engineers, Inc.
 TUV Rheinland Industrial Solutions, Inc.
 Viet Engineering, LLC
 Weeks Consulting, LLC
 Wilson Engineering Services, PC
 WTS Engineering LLC
 Young Engineering LLC





PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS

The following individuals were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

Boren, Patrick E.
Brinker, Zachary Allen

Hardy, Seth Michael
Olson, Ken G.

Tomson, Travis Alan

PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING CORPORATIONS/LLCs

The following corporations and LLCs were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

Benham Design, LLC
H & H Surveys & Consultants, LLC

Higby Land Surveying, LLC
Mott MacDonald, LLC

Shoreline Surveying and Engineering, LLC

PROFESSIONAL LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

The following individuals were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

Bihan, Rene
Brochtrup, Stacey Leigh
Davis, Brooke A
Gray, Robert L.
Henricksen, Caitlin Le
Higgins, Julie Barrett

London, Amy Sherylann
Maddox, Fred Alexander
Martinovic, Kathryn Rose
McBride, Brandon D
Mueller, Kristiana Grace
Rainer, Melissa Anne Knauer

Richardson, Amanda Wilkinson
Schroeder, Paul David
Surber, Michelle Pope
Wagner, Samantha M
Wilkinson, Jordan C
Wolnitzek, Gary Robert

PROFESSIONAL LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL CORPORATIONS/LLCs

The following corporations and LLCs were licensed between October 1, 2016 and April 1, 2017

Hord Coplan Macht, Inc
Human Nature Inc.

Rhodeside & Harwell, Incorporated
Spartan Engineering Solutions, LLC



DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

DISCLAIMER: Every effort has been made to ensure that the following enforcement information is correct. However, this information should not be relied upon without verification from the Board office. It should be noted that the names of companies and individuals listed may be similar to the names of the parties who have not had enforcement actions taken against them. Discipline orders are public data and copies may be obtained by contacting the Board office. Pursuant to Chapter 327, RSMo, a licensee is entitled to engage in the practice of architecture, engineering, land surveying or landscape architecture during his/her probationary period providing that the licensee adheres to all of the terms and conditions of the Order. However, a licensee whose license has been suspended, is not entitled to engage in the practice of architecture, engineering, land surveying or landscape architecture during his/her suspension period.

LICENSEES CURRENTLY ON SUSPENSION

- BORGARD, MICHAEL GLENN, PE-24533** (Fenton, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on August 14, 2016.
- CORN, MICHAEL LEWIS, A-3427** (St. Louis, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on June 21, 2014.
- GOMEZ, MARIO P., PE-19007** (Ladue, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on November 21, 2009.
- GOODMAN, CHARLES LOUIS, PE-2005024489** (Manhattan, Kansas) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on June 21, 2014.
- GRAEFE, SAMUEL WARD, PE-18597** (Moore, Oklahoma) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on April 23, 2011.
- KLEIN, EDWARD F., PE-13977** (Kansas City, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on May 21, 2012.
- LUCAS, MARTIN A., PLS-1857** (East Prairie, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on November 21, 2009.
- MAGEE, ALLAN HAROLD, PE-24813** (Ballwin, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on August 14, 2016.
- MCBRIDE, ROLAND E., PLS-2486** (Lees Summit, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on August 14, 2016.
- STANLEY, HAROLD RAY, PE-19372** (Peculiar, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on June 19, 2010.
- WHITE, TIMOTHY LEE, PLS-2534** (Cape Girardeau, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on August 14, 2016
- WOLF, DONALD R., PE-23385** (Lees Summit, Missouri) – suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, on June 21, 2014.

LICENSEES CURRENTLY ON PROBATION

- CURTIS, DONALD DUSTIN, A-2011008143** (Phoenix, Arizona) – probation commenced on October 4, 2016 and ends on October 3, 2019.



DWYER, TODD L., PLS-2656 (La Jolla, California) – probation commenced on November 12, 2016 and ends on November 11, 2017.

LINDSEY, RAYBURN G., A-4903 (Paragould, Arkansas) – probation commenced on March 19, 2016 and ends on March 18, 2019.

SMITH, THOMAS N., A-2003010224 (Mount Pleasant, South Carolina) – probation commenced on November 3, 2016 and ends on November 2, 2017.

THD DESIGN GROUP, INC. (Chesterfield, Missouri) – probation commenced on December 17, 2016 and ends on December 16, 2017.

PROBATIONS

CURTIS, DONALD DUSTIN, A-2011008143

Phoenix, Arizona

Summary: The Board previously reported that it placed Mr. Curtis’ architectural license, number A-2011008143, on probation for a period of one year commencing on June 3, 2014 and ending on June 2, 2015 because of his failure to report his Nevada discipline to the Missouri Board. Thereafter, the Board received information that Mr. Curtis sealed two projects in Missouri that were completed since his disciplinary period began. One of the projects was for a Burger King in Florissant, Missouri and the other project was for a Burger King in Bridgeton, Missouri. Both projects contained the title block of a corporation named ONE! ARCHITECTURE as the architectural entity designing the projects. However, ONE! ARCHITECTURE does not have a certificate of authority to perform architectural services in Missouri, and never has held such a certificate. The Board held a probation violation hearing on April 21, 2015. During that hearing, Mr. Curtis testified that there were no professional engineering issues in either project that were beyond those issues incidental to the practice of architecture. Immediately after so testifying, Mr. Curtis stated that there were fire safety issues in the Bridgeton project that he did not handle personally because he did not feel comfortable with fire alarm and fire sprinklers and it is a line he does not cross. Mr. Curtis testified that he did plumbing work on the Florissant project that consisted of replacing, relocating, and reconnecting sinks and toilets, and made them handicapped accessible. Mr. Curtis testified that he placed the lighting throughout the restaurant by making the light fixtures more efficient, and added convenience fixtures at all the tables to enable customers to plug in their phones and computers, which did add loads in the dining area. Mr. Curtis testified that he did not do any calculations to confirm whether the existing HVAC system was adequate for the renovations because that was done by somebody else. Mr. Curtis testified that although he did change the lighting he did not recall how he did the calculations to determine adequacy for the electrical system after those renovations. Mr. Curtis did not do any short circuit calculations on the Bridgeton project. Mr. Curtis has never done any calculations on the adequacy of the uniformity of lighting in any Burger King he designed. Mr. Curtis testified that although he changed the fixtures in the lamps he was not sure whether such changes would affect the uniformity of the lighting. Mr. Curtis did not consult with the Illuminating Engineering Society regarding its standards for lighting requirements in restaurants when designing the Burger King projects. Mr. Curtis did not do a future unit calculation on the plumbing changes. Mr. Curtis used licensed professional engineers on the Bridgeton project but did not use licensed professional engineers on the Florissant project. Mr. Curtis admitted to making errors in the title blocks in violation of Missouri rules, and explained that he had completed 54 Burger King projects in the previous year and stated that the failure to abide by those requirements was “not important” in comparison to making sure that professional



engineers actually did the work. Mr. Curtis admitted that part of his contracted services on the projects included making sure the title block requirements complied with local law. Mr. Curtis is not licensed as a professional engineer in any state. Mr. Curtis compared the lighting fixtures he replaced in the Burger King to fixtures in a warehouse, and although he often has a lighting consultant assist him, he did not in the Florissant project. Mr. Curtis did not know what art flash calculations were and did not do any in the Florissant project. Mr. Curtis did not do any calculations on gas, sewer, or fixture unit calculations for sewer or water in the Florissant project. Architectural sheets A6 and A7 on the Florissant project plans list the project as one in Nebraska, even though it was for Florissant, Missouri. Mr. Curtis admitted that was an error and that his staff should have caught the error, and that he had not noticed that prior to being questioned about it.

Cause for Discipline: The June 3, 2014 Order entitled the Board to take such disciplinary action as the Board deems necessary if Mr. Curtis failed to comply with its terms. Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of the Order entitled the Board to impose such additional or other discipline it deems appropriate. After review of all the evidence and exhibits submitted by both parties at the April 21, 2015 hearing, it was the conclusion of the Board that Mr. Curtis violated the terms of his disciplinary order of June 3, 2014. The Board found that Mr. Curtis violated Section 327.441.2(6), RSMo, by violation of, or assisting or enabling any person to violate, any provision of this chapter, or of any lawful rule or regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter; and, Section 327.441.2(13), RSMo, by the violation of any professional trust or confidence.

Board Action: The Board held a disciplinary hearing on April 21, 2015. Thereafter on May 20, 2015, the Board issued its Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disciplinary Order placing Mr. Curtis' architectural license number A-2011008143 on probation for a period of three years, commencing on June 2, 2015 and ending on June 1, 2018. On June 23, 2015, Mr. Curtis, by and through his attorney, David Barrett, filed a Petition for Judicial Review. The Judge issued a Stay Order on the probation. Subsequently on October 4, 2016, the Honorable Jon E. Beetem, Judge for the Circuit Court of Cole County, Missouri, issued a Judgment denying Mr. Curtis' Petition for Judicial Review. The Stay entered by the Court was lifted, and the Board's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Disciplinary Order was reinstated effective October 4, 2016. Therefore, Mr. Curtis' architectural license number A-2011008143 was placed on probation for a period of three years, commencing on October 4, 2016 and ending on October 3, 2019. Thereafter, on October 30, 2016, Mr. Curtis filed an appeal with the Missouri Court of Appeals, Western District.

SMITH, THOMAS N., A-2003010224

Mount Pleasant, South Carolina

Summary: Mr. Smith holds a license number A-2003010224 as an architect in Missouri. Mr. Smith owns and practices in other states under the company name Novus Architects, Inc. Mr. Smith also holds a license as an architect in the state of North Carolina. On April 23, 2015, Mr. Smith, individually and on behalf of Novus Architects, Inc., signed a Consent Order with the North Carolina Board of Architecture. The Consent Order stated that Novus Architects, Inc. offered and rendered architectural services on eight projects in North Carolina after the firm license registration expired. Mr. Smith contended that neither he nor any principal or employee in the firm intentionally violated North Carolina's Architectural Rules and Laws. However, in lieu of further proceedings, Mr. Smith and Novus Architects, Inc. agreed to enter into a Consent Agreement with the North Carolina Board, whereby Mr. Smith's license was reprimanded.



manded and the corporation was ordered to pay a civil penalty of \$20,000 as well as renewal and late fees and administrative costs. When Mr. Smith renewed his Missouri license, he selected a box indicating his agreement with a number of statements, one of which was, “In any other licensing jurisdiction, I have not been the subject of disciplinary action, or entered into any type of settlement agreement, providing for any limitation on my ability to practice, or monetary penalty or payment of costs that I have not previously disclosed to this Board.” By indicating his agreement with the statement, Mr. Smith failed to reveal the North Carolina disciplinary action to the Missouri Board.

Cause for Discipline: The Board had cause for disciplining Mr. Smith’s architectural license under Section 327.441.2(3), RSMo, by use of fraud, deception, misrepresentation or bribery in securing any license or certificate of authority issued pursuant to this chapter or in obtaining permission to take any examination given or required pursuant to this chapter; Section 327.441.2(6), RSMo, by violation of, or assisting or enabling any person to violate, any provision of this chapter, or of any lawful rule or regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter; Section 327.441.2(8), RSMo, by disciplinary action against the holder of a license or a certificate of authority, or other right to practice any profession regulated by this chapter granted by another state, territory, federal agency or country upon grounds for which revocation or suspension is authorized in this state; and, Section 327.441.2(10), RSMo, by assisting and enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

Board Action: Mr. Smith signed a Settlement Agreement with the Board agreeing to his architectural license being placed on probation for a period of one year commencing on November 3, 2016 and ending on November 2, 2017. Quarterly reporting was part of Mr. Smith’s probation as well. He is not to practice architecture in Missouri under the trade name Novus Architects, Inc., unless and until he obtains a certificate of authority for that company to practice as an architectural corporation in the state of Missouri pursuant to Section 327.401.2, RSMo.

DWYER, TODD L., PLS-2656

La Jolla, California

Summary: The Board received information that on or about September 10, 2014, Mr. Dwyer and THD Design Group, Inc. performed a boundary survey for James L. Hammond, on a property identified as Lot 20, Block 40 of Lakewood, a subdivision recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 24, St. Louis County, Missouri, located at 7813 Genesta Street, St. Louis County, Missouri. A plat was prepared identifying THD Design Group, Inc. in the title block, which was dated September 10, 2014, signed and sealed (but not dated) by Mr. Dwyer. THD Design Group, Inc.’s survey crew that performed the survey located and/or placed iron pins at three corners of the property, but failed to place caps on the pins. The fourth corner was documented only with a painted cross on the road. The survey crew failed to cut or otherwise affix a permanent marker on the corner found. The survey crew set both the northwest and southwest corners of Lot 20, but failed to show any control for the evaluation and/or determination of the west line. The survey noted possible encroachments of improvements on the neighboring property, but failed to provide dimensions of the encroachments, Mr. Dwyer failed to supervise the survey crew to assure that all record data needed to determine the most nearly correct legal boundaries was acquired and failed to supervise and review the selection of ground control as a result of the survey. The plat sealed by Mr. Dwyer incorrectly identified monu-





mentation at the northwest corner of Lot 20 as ‘found’ when the survey file clearly shows that corner being set and further failed to show or note all controlling corners and failed to show and describe monumentation of controlling corners, indicating which were found or set. The survey plat title block failed to indicate a certificate of authority to practice professional land surveying in Missouri. Mr. Dwyer maintained a practice of keeping his seal in a Portable Document Format (PDF) file, which THD Design Group, Inc. staff inserted into numerous survey plats at Mr. Dwyer’s direction. The seal did not comply with the electronic digital signature requirements.

Cause for Discipline: The Board had cause for disciplining Mr. Dwyer’s professional land surveyor’s license under Section 327.441.2(6), RSMo, for failure to supervise the performance and preparation of professional land survey plats prepared by THD Design Group, Inc. in violation of 20 CSR 2030-13.020(2)(B) and (C); for failure to show and describe monumentation of controlling corners, indicating which were found or set, in violation of 20 CSR 2030-16.030(M) and (N); and, for failure to use a properly protected electronic seal and signature in violation of Section 327.441, RSMo, and 20 CSR 2030-3.060(3).

Board Action: Mr. Dwyer signed a Settlement Agreement with the Board agreeing to his professional land surveying license being placed on probation for a period of one year commencing on November 12, 2016 and ending on November 11, 2017.

THD DESIGN GROUP, INC., LS-2011004412

Chesterfield, Missouri

Summary: The Board received information that on or about September 10, 2014, THD Design Group, Inc. and Todd Dwyer performed a boundary survey for James L. Hammond, on a property identified as Lot 20, Block 40 of Lakewood, a subdivision recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 24, St. Louis County, Missouri, located at 7813 Genesta Street, St. Louis County, Missouri. A plat was prepared identifying THD Design Group, Inc. in the title block, which was dated September 10, 2014, signed and sealed (but not dated) by Mr. Dwyer. THD Design Group, Inc.’s survey crew that performed the survey located and/or placed iron pins at three corners of the property, but failed to place caps on the pins. The fourth corner was documented only with a painted cross on the road. The survey crew failed to cut or otherwise affix a permanent marker on the corner found. The survey crew set both the northwest and southwest corners of Lot 20, but failed to show any control for the evaluation and/or determination of the west line. The survey noted possible encroachments of improvements on the neighboring property, but failed to provide dimensions of the encroachments. Mr. Dwyer failed to supervise the survey crew to assure that all record data needed to determine the most nearly correct legal boundaries was acquired and the posts placed in the field did not properly mark the boundaries. The plat sealed by Mr. Dwyer incorrectly identified monumentation at the northwest corner of Lot 20 as ‘found’ when the survey file clearly shows that corner being set and further incorrectly showed or noted all controlling corners and incorrectly showed and described monumentation of controlling corners, indicating which were found or set. The survey plat title block failed to indicate a certificate of authority to practice professional land surveying in Missouri.

Cause for Discipline: The Board had cause for disciplining THD Design Group, Inc. under Section 327.441.2(6), RSMo, based on the failure of THD staff and management to list the certificate of authority in the title block as required by Board Rules 20 CSR 2030-2.050(2)(A), to properly supervise field staff operations as required by Board Rules 20 CS 2030-13.020(2)(B) and (C), or to comply with requirements to show and describe monumentation of controlling corners, indicating which were found or set, in violation of Board Rule 20 CSR 2030-16.030(M) and (N).



Board Action: THD Design Group, Inc. signed a Settlement Agreement with the Board agreeing to place its land surveying certificate of authority on probation for a period of one year commencing on December 17, 2016 and ending on December 16, 2017.

PROBATED LICENSES AND CIVIL PENALTY

VOILA ENGINEERING SERVICES, P.C., E-001131

Kansas City, Missouri

Summary: The Board received information that from at least April 15, 2015, Voila Engineering Services, PC. offered and provided professional engineering services without having renewed its certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services and had incorporated in Missouri to provide such services since 1990. It also offered such services by use of the word “engineering” in its corporate name in such a manner as to represent it as being able to provide professional engineering services in Missouri even though it did not renew its certificate of authority to provide such services. On October 17, 2016, Voila Engineering Services, PC. filed an application with the Board for reauthorization of its corporate certificate under its original license number.

Cause for Discipline: Cause exists for the Board to deny Voila Engineering Services, PC.’s application for reauthorization of an engineering certificate of authority pursuant to Section 327.441.2(5), RSMo, by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, Section 327.441.2(10), RSMo, by assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

Board Action: In lieu of denial of Voila Engineering Services, PC.’s application for reauthorization of an engineering certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to Voila Engineering Services, PC. Thereafter on November 18, 2016, the Board issued an Order to Voila Engineering Services, PC. to pay \$500 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on Voila Engineering Services, PC., it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by Voila Engineering Services, PC., and the interest to the public. Within 60 days of the date of the Order, Voila Engineering Services, PC. shall issue a cashier’s check or money order in the amount of \$500 made payable to Q. Troy Thomas, Director of Finance of Jackson County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing. On or about January 3, 2017, the Board received a money order in the amount of \$500 for the civil penalties from Voila Engineering Services, PC. On January 3, 2017, the Board mailed the \$500 money order to Q. Troy Thomas, Director of Finance of Jackson County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. The probation is terminated and Voila Engineering Services, PC.’s engineering certificate of authority, number E-001131, is now considered in good standing.

HURRICANE HILL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC, E-2017002700

Mason, New Hampshire



Summary: The Board received information that at least on one occasion Hurricane Hill Development Company LLC offered and provided professional engineering services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services by preparing professional engineering plans for use as a fire alarm system in a commercial property in St. Louis County, Missouri. Upon being informed of its need to obtain a certificate of authority, Hurricane Hill Development Company LLC incorporated in Missouri on January 20, 2017, with its corporate statement of purposes to provide “Electrical Engineering and Design.” On January 20, 2017, Hurricane Hill Development Company LLC filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide professional engineering services in the state of Missouri.

Cause for Discipline: Cause exists for the Board to deny Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC’s application for licensure of an engineering certificate of authority pursuant to Section 327.441.2(5), RSMo, by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, Section 327.441.2(10), RSMo, by assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

Board Action: In lieu of denial of Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC’s application for licensure of an engineering certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC. Thereafter on January 30, 2017, the Board issued an Order to Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC to pay \$500 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC, it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC, and the interest to the public. Within 60 days of the date of the Order, Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC shall issue a cashier’s check or money order in the amount of \$500 made payable to Treasurer of St Louis County, Missouri, attention Cynthia Hill, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing. On or about February 21, 2017, the Board received a money order in the amount of \$500 for the civil penalties from Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC. On February 21, 2017, the Board mailed the \$500 money order to Cynthia Williams, Treasurer of St. Louis County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Hurricane Hill Development Company, LLC’s engineering certificate of authority, number E-2017002700 is now considered in good standing.

VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF CORPORATE LICENSE

Stephen P. Maslan & Co., A-2014041132

Kansas City, Missouri

Summary: The Board opened a complaint file on Stephen P Maslan & Co. based on a material mistake of fact as a result of its managing agent’s testimony at his appearance before the Architectural and Professional Engineering Divisions of the Board on April 25, 2016. In the certificate of authority application submitted by Stephen P Maslan & Company, the managing agent signed the application stating he was a full-time employee of the architectural corporation. However, under oath, he testified that he was never an owner, officer, member, or full-time employee of the architectural corporation, and thus he was not eligible to serve as managing agent under



the terms of 20 CSR 2030-10.010(2).

Cause for Discipline: The Board had cause to discipline the certificate of authority of Stephen P. Maslan & Co. under Section 327.441.2(11), RSMo, for issuance of a professional license or a certificate of authority based upon a material mistake of fact.

Board Action: On October 31, 2016, Stephen P. Maslan & Co. surrendered its architectural certificate of authority number A-2014041132.

VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF ARCHITECTURAL LICENSE

BARGATE, GAVIN C., A-2004032547


Jefferson City, Missouri

Summary: Mr. Bargate, who was licensed as an architect in South Africa, obtained licensure without examination based on credentials indicating he had received an architectural degree from the University of Natal (now University of Kwazulu-Natal) in South Africa. On October 29, 2008, the Board received an email from the President of Educational Perspectives, stating that during a background check on Bargate’s credentials, they discovered that he did not graduate from the program at the University of Kwazulu-Natal. The university confirmed that Mr. Bargate was never awarded the degree of Bachelor of Architecture by the University of Natal. On June 23, 2015, the Board received another complaint alleging misconduct, fraud and dishonesty on the part of Gavin C. Bargate d/b/a Gavin Bargate Architect of Jefferson City, Missouri. The complaint specifically alleged that Mr. Bargate paid the permit fees for a church project, altered the receipt to reflect a much larger permit fee paid and then billed the church for the larger amount, and also billed for other expenses he had not incurred.

Cause for Discipline: The Board filed complaints with the Administrative Hearing Commission alleging cause to discipline Mr. Bargate’s architectural license under Section 327.441.2(11), RSMo, for issuance of a professional license or a certificate of authority based upon a material mistake of fact, under Section 327.441.2(4), RSMo, for obtaining a charge by fraud or misrepresentation, and under Section 327.441.2(3), RSMo, for fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of an architect, and other violations. Bargate settled these charges by surrendering his architectural license.

Board Action: On December 2, 2016, Mr. Bargate voluntarily surrendered his architectural license, A-2004032547. He retained the right to take the Architect Registration Examination and reapply for licensure upon passage.





CEU/PDH/PDU CERTIFICATE

Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Professional Landscape Architects

NAME OF SPONSOR

This is to certify that the person named below has earned .5 CEU for Architects and Professional Landscape Architects, .5 PDH for Professional Engineers, and .5 PDU for Professional Land Surveyors by thoroughly reading the Spring/Summer 2017 edition of the Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Professional Landscape Architects' newsletter entitled, *Dimensions*.

NAME OF PARTICIPANT

ATTESTATION: I attest, by the responses recorded below, my signature, and on my professional honor, that I have personally read and am familiar with the Spring/Summer 2017 edition of the Board's newsletter entitled, "*Dimensions*."

Please record your answers to the below questions, along with the page numbers on which you found the answers, in the blanks provided:

How is the determination as to who will be subject to a continuing education audit made?

Can I claim a personal enrichment course for Continuing Education credit if it assists me in the performance of my professional work?

Do taxpayers fund the Board's operations?

Is a licensee permitted to review and seal work prepared by a designer, contractor, or drafting company on a consultant basis?

I received an offer through e-mail for a company in Asia to perform drafting and design on my projects at a very low rate. Is this legal?

SIGNATURE OF LICENSEE

DATE

LICENSE NUMBER

NOTE: If you claim credit for reading the newsletter and are audited, you will be required to produce this completed certificate as documentation. Therefore, you are to print and keep a copy of this completed certificate in your file with your other supporting documentation. This credit does not count toward your HSW quota.